

# MAT8021, Algebraic Topology

## Corrigendum to Assignment 6\*

April 8, 2024

3. Suppose  $G$  is a topological group and  $x \in H_k(G; \mathbb{Z})$  where  $k$  is odd. Show that  $x^2 = 0$  in  $H_{2k}(G; \mathbb{Z})$ .

Without an additional assumption that  $G$  is abelian, the claim in this question is **incorrect**. Here is how the argument was supposed to go and where it broke down.

Let  $\xi \in C_k(G)$  such that  $[\xi] = x$ . Then  $[\mu_*(\xi \times \xi)] = x^2$ , where  $\mu: G \times G \rightarrow G$  is the multiplication map and  $\times$  is defined on simplices by

$$\begin{aligned} \times: C_k(X) \times C_\ell(Y) &\rightarrow C_{k+\ell}(X \times Y) \\ (\sigma, \tau) &\mapsto \sigma \times \tau = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \text{ } (k, \ell)\text{-} \\ \text{shuffles}}} \text{sgn}(\alpha) \cdot [(\sigma \times \tau) \circ f_\alpha] \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} f_\alpha: \Delta_{k+\ell} &\rightarrow \Delta_k \times \Delta_\ell \\ (t_1, \dots, t_{k+\ell}) &\mapsto ((t_{\alpha(1)}, \dots, t_{\alpha(k)}), (t_{\alpha(k+1)}, \dots, t_{\alpha(k+\ell)})) \end{aligned}$$

the “un-shuffle” maps.

Given any  $(k, \ell)$ -shuffle  $\alpha$ , we have  $\alpha(1) \leq \dots \leq \alpha(k)$  and  $\alpha(k+1) \leq \dots \leq \alpha(k+\ell)$ . Thus it is in bijective correspondence with an  $(\ell, k)$ -shuffle  $\alpha': (1, \dots, k+\ell) \mapsto (\alpha(k+1), \dots, \alpha(k+\ell), \alpha(1), \dots, \alpha(k))$ . Moreover,  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  differ by  $k\ell$  transpositions. Therefore,

$$\sigma \times \tau = (-1)^{k\ell} t_* (\tau \times \sigma) \tag{1}$$

where  $t: X \times Y \rightarrow Y \times X$  sends  $(x, y)$  to  $(y, x)$ . In particular, setting  $X = Y = G$  and  $\tau = \sigma$  so that  $\ell = k$ , we see that  $\sigma \times \sigma = -t_*(\sigma \times \sigma)$ .

**However**,  $t_*(\sigma \times \sigma) \neq \sigma \times \sigma$ , so we cannot proceed from here, obtain  $\sigma \times \sigma = -\sigma \times \sigma$  in the free abelian group  $C_{2k}(G \times G)$ , and conclude that  $\sigma \times \sigma = 0$ . In fact, by looking at the “smallest” example with  $X = \Delta[1]$ ,  $k = 1$ , and  $\sigma = \text{id}$ , we compute explicitly that  $t_*(\sigma \times \sigma) = -\sigma \times \sigma$  (swapping the signed

---

\*I thank Yiliang Tang, Yifan Wu, Zijie Wen, Guanlin Lin-Shen, Zhichao Wang, and Yanfei Zhu for their efforts in clarifying this.

triangular regions in a unit square across the diagonal results in a minus sign), which simply divides the sign  $(-1)^{k\ell}$  to the left-hand side of (1) and does not yield further information.

If **in addition**  $G$  is abelian (or abelian up to homotopy), then  $\mu = \mu \circ t$  (or  $\mu \simeq \mu \circ t$ ). Thus from (1) we obtain

$$\mu_*(\sigma \times \tau) = (-1)^{k\ell} \mu_* t_*(\tau \times \sigma) = (-1)^{k\ell} \mu_*(\tau \times \sigma)$$

which gives the graded commutativity of the Pontryagin product in homology.

Besides topological groups  $G$ , this multiplicative structure in homology exists more generally for  $H$ -spaces. See Hatcher Section 3C, especially Example 3C.7 which shows a class in odd degree squaring to nonzero. Also compare the cup-product structure in cohomology to be discussed in class soon.