

Claim Eigenvectors of distinct eigenvalues are linearly independent.

Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$  be distinct eigenvalues of the matrix  $A$  (or more intrinsically, of the linear transformation  $T$  that  $A$  represents with respect to a chosen basis).

Let  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n$  be their respective eigenvectors.

Want to show that  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n$  are linearly independent, that is, by definition,

$$c_1 \vec{v}_1 + c_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + c_n \vec{v}_n = \vec{0} \text{ forces } \underline{\text{each}} \ c_i \text{ must be zero.}$$

Suppose not. Reorder and choose

$$(*) \quad c_1 \vec{v}_1 + c_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + c_k \vec{v}_k = \vec{0}$$

for some  $1 \leq k \leq n$  such that each  $c_i$  is not zero (possible if  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n$  were linearly dependent).

Now multiply the matrix  $A$  (or apply the transformation  $T$ ) to  $(*)$  and get

$$c_1 \lambda_1 \vec{v}_1 + c_2 \lambda_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + c_k \lambda_k \vec{v}_k = \vec{0}.$$

Iterate this process and get

$$c_1 \lambda_1^2 \vec{v}_1 + c_2 \lambda_2^2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + c_k \lambda_k^2 \vec{v}_k = \vec{0}$$

...

$$c_1 \lambda_1^{k-1} \vec{v}_1 + c_2 \lambda_2^{k-1} \vec{v}_2 + \dots + c_k \lambda_k^{k-1} \vec{v}_k = \vec{0}$$

Together with (\*), each of these identities gives a column

$$\begin{bmatrix} \vec{v}_1 & \vec{v}_2 & \dots & \vec{v}_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \lambda_1^i \\ c_2 \lambda_2^i \\ \vdots \\ c_k \lambda_k^i \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0} \quad 0 \leq i \leq k-1$$

Put together, we have

$$(**) \begin{bmatrix} \vec{v}_1 & \vec{v}_2 & \dots & \vec{v}_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & c_1 \lambda_1 & c_1 \lambda_1^2 & \dots & c_1 \lambda_1^{k-1} \\ c_2 & c_2 \lambda_2 & c_2 \lambda_2^2 & \dots & c_2 \lambda_2^{k-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_k & c_k \lambda_k & c_k \lambda_k^2 & \dots & c_k \lambda_k^{k-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix}_{k \times k}$$

The contradiction comes from the invertibility of the second matrix above. Indeed,

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & c_1 \lambda_1 & c_1 \lambda_1^2 & \dots & c_1 \lambda_1^{k-1} \\ c_2 & c_2 \lambda_2 & c_2 \lambda_2^2 & \dots & c_2 \lambda_2^{k-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_k & c_k \lambda_k & c_k \lambda_k^2 & \dots & c_k \lambda_k^{k-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= c_1 c_2 \dots c_k \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda_1 & \lambda_1^2 & \dots & \lambda_1^{k-1} \\ 1 & \lambda_2 & \lambda_2^2 & \dots & \lambda_2^{k-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \lambda_k & \lambda_k^2 & \dots & \lambda_k^{k-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

the Vandermonde determinant

$$= \prod_{i=1}^k c_i \prod_{i>j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j) \neq 0$$

This matrix being invertible, together with the equation (\*\*),

implies

$$\begin{bmatrix} \vec{v}_1 & \vec{v}_2 & \dots & \vec{v}_k \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$$

but  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_k$  are nonzero eigenvectors.